

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Attorney Docket No. 2006\_0560A  
Oddvin REISO et al. : **Confirmation No. 1717**  
Serial No. 10/576,108 : Group Art Unit 1793  
Filed July 17, 2006 : Examiner Jie Yang  
Al-Mg-Si ALLOY SUITED FOR : **Mail Stop: AF**  
EXTRUSION

---

**REPLY TO ADVISORY ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Referring to the Advisory Action mailed August 30, 2010, Applicants now reply to the Examiner's comments as set forth on the continuation sheet attached to the Advisory Action.

In the Advisory Action of August 30, 2010 the Examiner uses a mosaic when arguing against the composition as defined in claim 5 of the present application. Thus, when referring to US '359 the Examiner cites Figs. 2-4, 6, and 14 regarding the content of Mg, and Figs. 10-13 regarding the content of Mn. However, although Figs. 2-4, 6 and 14 show the content of Mg they fail to give any information on the content of Mn; and although Figs. 10- 13 show the content of Mn, they fail to give any information on the content of Mg.

In addition, the Examiner is wrong when stating that Figs. 10-13 show the range of Mn between 0.03 wt% and 0.06 wt%. The fact is that Figs. 10-13 only show two samples of Mn, namely 0.09 wt% and 0.03wt%. The Examiner has apparently misunderstood the content of these figures.

Taking these comments into consideration, along with Applicants' patentability arguments of record, Applicants again respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Oddvin REISO et al.

By  /Michael R. Davis/  
Digitally signed by /Michael R. Davis/  
DN: cn=/Michael R. Davis/, o=WLP, ou,  
email=mdavis@wenderoth.com, c=US  
Date: 2010.10.04 13:50:56 -04'00'

Michael R. Davis  
Registration No. 25,134  
Attorney for Applicants

MRD/clw  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
October 4, 2010